LARGEST SQUARE DIVISORS OF SHIFTED PRIMES

RUNBO LI

ABSTRACT. The author shows that there are infinitely many primes p such that for any nonzero integer a, p-a is divisible by a square $d^2 > p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{700}}$. The exponent $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{700}$ improves Merikoski's $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2000}$. Many powerful devices in Harman's sieve are used for this improvement.

Contents

Ι.	Introduction	
2.	Asymptotic formulas	2
3.	The final decomposition	4
Ref	ferences	Ś

1. Introduction

The Euler's conjecture, which states that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$, is one of Landau's problems on prime numbers. There are several ways to attack this conjecture. One way is to relax the number of prime factors of f(n), and the best result in this way is due to Iwaniec [4]. Building on the previous work of Richert [11], he showed that for any irreducible polynomial $f(n) = an^2 + bn + c$ with a > 0 and $c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, there are infinitely many x such that f(x) has at most 2 prime factors.

Another possible way is to consider the square divisors of p-1. If we can show that there are infinitely many primes such that p-1 is divisible by a large square $d^2 \geqslant p^{\theta}$ with $\theta=1$, then the Euler's conjecture is solved. The first result on this direction is due to Baier and Zhao [1] [2], where they proved the above statement holds with d prime and $\theta < \frac{4}{9}$ as an application of their large sieve for sparse sets of moduli. They interpret the problem as an equidistribution problem for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d^2}$, after which the result follows from their Bombieri–Vinogradov type theorem for sparse sets of moduli [1], Theorem 3].

In 2009, Matomäki [9] improved the above result to $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$ using Harman's sieve [3] and Type–II information obtained using the large sieve of Baier and Zhao [2]. Note that the exponent $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ is the limit of what can be obtained under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH). In [10], Merikoski first broke this $\frac{1}{2}$ -barrier and successfully got $\theta \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2000}$ without the restriction that d is a prime. In the article [10], he mentioned that the "extra" exponent $\frac{1}{2000}$ has not been fully optimized, and one should be able to increase this to some value between $\frac{1}{500}$ and $\frac{1}{1000}$. In this paper, we increase this to $\frac{1}{700}$ by a careful decomposition on Harman's sieve

Theorem 1.1. Let $a \neq 0$ be an integer. There are infinitely many primes p such that $d^2 \mid (p-a)$ for some integer d with

$$d^2 \geqslant p^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{700}}.$$

Throughout this paper, we always suppose that ε is a sufficiently small positive constant and X is sufficiently large. The letter p, with or without subscript, is reserved for prime numbers. Let $\varpi = \frac{1}{1400}$, $D = X^{\frac{1}{2} + 2\varpi}$, $K = \lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil$ and $P = D^{\frac{1}{K}}$. Let σ be a number satisfies the condition $19\sigma + 90\varpi + 71\varepsilon < 1$. Define

$$I_j = \left(2^{j-1}P^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2^jP^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, K.$$

 $^{2020\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 11N35,\ 11N36.$

We set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ p_1^2 p_2^2 \cdots p_K^2 : p_j \in I_j \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, K \}$$

so that $d^2 \in D$ is of size $\times D$ and is a square of a squarefree integer.

Fix an integer $a \neq 0$ and a C^{∞} -smooth function $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, supported on the interval [1,2] and satisfying $\psi(x) = 1$ for $1 + \eta \leq x \leq 2 - \eta$ for some sufficiently small positive η . For $d^2 \in D$ and z < X, denote

$$S\left(\mathcal{A}^d,z\right) = \sum_{\substack{n \equiv a \pmod{d^2}\\ (n,P(z))=1}} \psi\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad S\left(\mathcal{B}^d,z\right) = \frac{1}{\varphi(d^2)} \sum_{\substack{(n,d^2)=1\\ (n,P(z))=1}} \psi\left(\frac{n}{X}\right).$$

Then Theorem 1.1 holds if there exists $\varepsilon, \eta, c > 0$ such that for all but $O\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}}X^{-\eta}\right)$ of the moduli $d^2 \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$S\left(\mathcal{A}^d, 2X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \geqslant cS\left(\mathcal{B}^d, 2X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{1}$$

2. Asymptotic formulas

Lemma 2.1. ([[10], Proposition 7]). Let $U \leqslant X^{\frac{1}{2}+2\varpi+\varepsilon}$ and let a_u be divisor-bounded. Then for all but $O\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}}X^{-\eta}\right)$ of $d^2 \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\sum_{u \in U} a_u S\left(\mathcal{A}_u^d, X^{\sigma - 2\varpi}\right) = (1 + o(1)) \sum_{u \in U} a_u S\left(\mathcal{B}_u^d, X^{\sigma - 2\varpi}\right).$$

Lemma 2.2. ([[10], Proposition 6]). Let $U \leqslant X^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$, $V \leqslant X^{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{5\varpi}{2}-\eta}$ and let a_u , b_v be divisor-bounded. Then for all but $O\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}}X^{-\eta}\right)$ of $d^2 \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{u \sim U \\ v \sim V}} a_u b_v S\left(\mathcal{A}_{uv}^d, X^{\sigma - 2\varpi}\right) = (1 + o(1)) \sum_{\substack{u \sim U \\ v \sim V}} a_u b_v S\left(\mathcal{B}_{uv}^d, X^{\sigma - 2\varpi}\right).$$

Lemma 2.3. ([10], Proposition 4]). Let UV = X, $X^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \leqslant U \leqslant X^{\frac{1}{2}-2\varpi-\varepsilon}$ and let a_u , b_v be divisorbounded. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d^2 \in \mathcal{D} \\ uv \equiv a \pmod{d^2} \\ u \sim U, v \sim V}} a_u b_v \psi\left(\frac{uv}{X}\right) - \frac{1}{\varphi(d^2)} \sum_{\substack{(uv, d^2) = 1 \\ u \sim U, v \sim V}} a_u b_v \psi\left(\frac{uv}{X}\right) \right| \ll D^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^{1-\eta}.$$

3. The final decomposition

Before decomposing, we define asymptotic regions I and II as

$$\begin{split} I(m,n) &:= \left\{ m + n \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + 2\varpi, \text{ or } m \leqslant \frac{1}{2} - \sigma \text{ and } n < \frac{1}{8} + \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{5\varpi}{2} \right\}, \\ II(m,n) &:= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \sigma \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{1}{2} - 2\varpi \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} - \sigma \leqslant n \leqslant \frac{1}{2} - 2\varpi \\ &\text{ or } \frac{1}{2} - \sigma \leqslant m + n \leqslant \frac{1}{2} - 2\varpi \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} + 2\varpi \leqslant m + n \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + \sigma \right\}. \end{split}$$

Let $\omega(u)$ denotes the Buchstab function determined by the following differential-difference equation

$$\begin{cases} \omega(u) = \frac{1}{u}, & 1 \leq u \leq 2, \\ (u\omega(u))' = \omega(u-1), & u \geqslant 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have the upper and lower bounds for $\omega(u)$:

$$\omega(u) \geqslant \omega_0(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{u}, & 1 \leqslant u < 2, \\ \frac{1 + \log(u - 1)}{u}, & 2 \leqslant u < 3, \\ \frac{1 + \log(u - 1)}{u} + \frac{1}{u} \int_2^{u - 1} \frac{\log(t - 1)}{t} dt, & 3 \leqslant u < 4, \\ 0.5612, & u \geqslant 4, \end{cases}$$

$$\omega(u) \leqslant \omega_1(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{u}, & 1 \leqslant u < 2, \\ \frac{1 + \log(u - 1)}{u}, & 2 \leqslant u < 3, \\ \frac{1 + \log(u - 1)}{u} + \frac{1}{u} \int_2^{u - 1} \frac{\log(t - 1)}{t} dt, & 3 \leqslant u < 4, \\ 0.5617, & u \geqslant 4. \end{cases}$$

We shall use $\omega_0(u)$ and $\omega_1(u)$ to give numerical bounds for some sieve functions discussed below. We shall also use the simple upper bound $\omega(u) \leqslant \max(\frac{1}{u}, 0.5672)$ (see Lemma 8(iii) of [5]) to estimate high-dimensional integrals. Fix $\sigma = \frac{1}{20.31}$ and let $p_j = X^{\alpha_j}$. By Buchstab's identity, we have

$$S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}, 2X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) - \sum_{\sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_{1} < \frac{1}{2}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_{1} < \frac{1}{2} \\ \sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_{2} < \min\left(\alpha_{1}, \frac{1-\alpha_{1}}{2}\right)}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}p_{2}}, p_{2}\right)$$

$$= S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) - \sum_{\sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_{1} < \frac{1}{2}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) + \sum_{(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}) \in II} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}p_{2}}, p_{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}) \in A} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}p_{2}}, p_{2}\right) + \sum_{(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}) \in B} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}p_{2}}, p_{2}\right) + \sum_{(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}) \in C} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{d}_{p_{1}p_{2}}, p_{2}\right)$$

$$= S_{1} - S_{2} + S_{II} + S_{A} + S_{B} + S_{C}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{split} A(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) &= \left\{\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_2 < \min\left(\alpha_1,\frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}\right), \ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \notin II, \\ &\qquad (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_2) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in I \right\}, \\ B(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) &= \left\{\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_2 < \min\left(\alpha_1,\frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}\right), \ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \notin II, \\ &\qquad (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_2) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in I, \ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in I, \ (1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2,\alpha_2) \in I \right\}, \\ C(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) &= \left\{\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_2 < \min\left(\alpha_1,\frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}\right), \ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \notin II \cup A \cup B \right\}. \end{split}$$

We have asymptotic formulas for S_1 and S_2 by Lemma 2.1. We can also give an asymptotic formula for S_{II} by Lemma 2.3. For S_A , we can apply Buchstab's identity to get

$$\begin{split} S_A &= \sum_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2}, p_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) - \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ \sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_3 < \min\left(\alpha_2, \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2}\right) \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ \sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_3 < \min\left(\alpha_2, \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2}\right) \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ \sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_3 < \min\left(\alpha_2, \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2}\right) \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ \sigma-2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_3 < \min\left(\alpha_2, \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2}\right) \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in A \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can not be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{$$

$$= S_{A1} - S_{A2} - S_{A3} + S_{A4} + S_{A5}. (3)$$

By Lemmas 2.1–2.2 we have asymptotic formulas for S_{A1} and S_{A3} . For S_{A2} and S_{A4} we can also give asymptotic formulas by Lemma 2.3. We discard part of S_{A5} if we can neither give an asymptotic formula nor decompose it further. For the remaining part, we can perform Buchstab's identity twice more to reach a six–dimensional sum if we can group $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_4)$ into $(m, n) \in I$, and we can use reversed Buchstab's identity to make some almost–primes visible. Working as in [7] and [8], the total loss from S_A can be bounded by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1}{2})} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2}{2})} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3}{2})} \\ -2\varpi \end{pmatrix} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1}{2})} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4-t_5}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\tau-2\varpi} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\tau-2} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\min(t_1,\frac{1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4-t_5}{2})} \int_{\tau-2\varpi}^{\tau-2} \int_{\tau$$

where

$$S_{A51}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) := \left\{ (t_1,t_2) \in S_A, \right.$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_3 < \min\left(t_2,\frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2)\right),$$

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \min\left(t_3,\frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3)\right),$$

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in I,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min\left(t_1, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1)\right)\right\},$$

$$S_{A52}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) := \left\{(t_1, t_2) \in S_A, \right.$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_3 < \min\left(t_2, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \min\left(t_3, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in I,$$

$$t_4 < t_5 < \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min\left(t_1, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1)\right)\right\},$$

$$S_{A53}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) := \left\{(t_1, t_2) \in S_A, \right.$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_3 < \min\left(t_2, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) := (t_1, t_2) \in S_A,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \min\left(t_3, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \min\left(t_3, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in I,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_5 < \min\left(t_4, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_6 < \min\left(t_5, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4-t_5)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_6) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_6 < \min\left(t_5, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4-t_5)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_6) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min\left(t_1, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1)\right)\right\},$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \min\left(t_2, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_4) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_6 < \min\left(t_5, \frac{1}{2}(1 - t_1 - t_2 - t_3 - t_4 - t_5)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_6) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m, n) \in I,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_7 < \min\left(t_5, \frac{1}{2}(1 - t_1 - t_2 - t_3 - t_4 - t_5 - t_6)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_8 < \min\left(t_5, \frac{1}{2}(1 - t_1 - t_2 - t_3 - t_4 - t_5 - t_6 - t_7)\right),$$

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6, t_7, t_8) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m, n) \in II,$$

$$\sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min\left(t_1, \frac{1}{2}(1 - t_1)\right) \right\}.$$

For S_B we use Buchstab's identity to get

$$S_{B} = \sum_{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}^{d}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) - \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B\\ \sigma-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min\left(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2}\right)}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}}^{d}, p_{3}\right). \tag{5}$$

Here we cannot decompose it directly using Buchstab's identity once more, since we cannot give an asymptotic formula for part of the negative sum

$$\sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in B \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant \alpha_3 < \min\left(\alpha_2, \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2}\right) \\ \alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3}, X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right).$$

However, we can use a role–reversal to transfer the last sum in (5) into a form that have an asymptotic formula. Note that in [10] role–reversals were not used. We refer the readers to [6] and [8] for more applications of role–reversals. By a standard process, we have (where $\beta \sim X^{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3}$ and $(\beta, P(p_3)) = 1$)

$$S_{B} = \sum_{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}^{d},p_{2}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}^{d},X^{\sigma-2\varpi}\right) - \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B\\ \sigma-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}}^{d},p_{3}\right)$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B\\ \sigma-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{\beta p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}}^{d},p_{4}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B\\ \sigma-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{\beta p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}}^{d},p_{4}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})\in B\\ \sigma-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{\beta p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}}^{d},p_{4}\right)$$

$$-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}$$

$$-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}$$

$$-2\varpi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})\\ (\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II}$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II$$

$$-2\pi\leqslant\alpha_{3}<\min(\alpha_{2},\frac{1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}}{2})$$

$$(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}) \text{ cannot be partitio$$

We can give asymptotic formulas for S_{B1} – S_{B4} by Lemmas 2.1–2.3. We can also decompose part of S_{B5} if the variables (with α_1 replaced by $1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$) satisfy the same conditions as in the decomposable part of S_{B5} . Again, the total loss from S_B can be bounded by

where

$$S_{B51}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) := \left\{ (t_1,t_2) \in S_B, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_3 < \min \left(t_2, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2) \right), \\ (t_1,t_2,t_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \frac{1}{2}t_1, \\ (1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ (1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min \left(t_1, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1) \right) \right\}, \\ S_{B52}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5) := \left\{ (t_1,t_2) \in S_B, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_3 < \min \left(t_2, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2) \right), \\ (t_1,t_2,t_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_4 < \frac{1}{2}t_1, \\ (1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ (1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in I, \\ t_4 < t_5 < \frac{1}{2}(t_1-t_4), \\ (1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min \left(t_1, \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1) \right) \right\}, \\ S_{B53}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6) := \left\{ (t_1,t_2) \in S_B, \right\}$$

$$\sigma-2\varpi\leqslant t_3<\min\left(t_2,\frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2)\right),$$

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II,$$

$$\sigma-2\varpi\leqslant t_4<\frac{1}{2}t_1,$$

$$(1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II,$$

$$(1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_4) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n)\in I,$$

$$\sigma-2\varpi\leqslant t_5<\min\left(t_4,\frac{1}{2}(t_1-t_4)\right),$$

$$(1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II,$$

$$\sigma-2\varpi\leqslant t_6<\min\left(t_5,\frac{1}{2}(t_1-t_4-t_5)\right),$$

$$(1-t_1-t_2-t_3,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n)\in II,$$

$$\sigma-2\varpi\leqslant t_1<\frac{1}{2},\ \sigma-2\varpi\leqslant t_2<\min\left(t_1,\frac{1}{2}(1-t_1)\right)\right\}.$$

For S_C we cannot use Buchstab's identity in a straightforward manner, but we can use Buchstab's identity in reverse to make some almost–primes visible. The details of using Buchstab's identity in reverse are similar to those in [6] and [8]. By using Buchstab's identity in reverse twice, we have

$$\begin{split} S_C &= \sum_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2}, p_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2}, 2\left(\frac{X}{p_1p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C \\ \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2} \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C \\ \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2} \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3}, 2\left(\frac{X}{p_1p_2p_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C \\ \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2} \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C}} S\left(\mathcal{A}^d_{p_1p_2p_3p_4}, p_4\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C \\ \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2} \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C \\ \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2} \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in C \\ \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{2} \\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II} \\ &= S_{C1} + S_{C2} + S_{C3} + S_{C4} + S_{C5}. \end{split}$$

We can give asymptotic formulas for S_{C2} and S_{C4} by Lemma 2.3, hence we can subtract them from the loss. In this way we obtain a loss from S_C of

$$\left(\int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min\left(t_1,\frac{1-t_1}{2}\right)}\operatorname{Boole}[(t_1,t_2)\in S_C]\frac{\omega\left(\frac{1-t_1-t_2}{t_2}\right)}{t_1t_2^2}dt_2dt_1\right)$$

$$-\left(\int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_{1},\frac{1-t_{1}}{2})} \int_{t_{2}}^{\frac{1-t_{1}-t_{2}}{2}} \operatorname{Boole}[(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3}) \in S_{C2}] \frac{\omega\left(\frac{1-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{3}}\right)}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}^{2}} dt_{3} dt_{2} dt_{1}\right) \\ -\left(\int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\sigma-2\varpi}^{\min(t_{1},\frac{1-t_{1}}{2})} \int_{t_{2}}^{\frac{1-t_{1}-t_{2}}{2}} \int_{t_{3}}^{\frac{1-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}}{2}} \operatorname{Boole}[(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3},t_{4}) \in S_{C4}] \frac{\omega\left(\frac{1-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}-t_{4}}{t_{4}}\right)}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}t_{4}^{2}} dt_{4} dt_{3} dt_{2} dt_{1}\right) \\ < 0.990258, \tag{9}$$

where

$$S_{C2}(t_1,t_2,t_3) := \left\{ (t_1,t_2) \in S_C, \ t_2 < t_3 < \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2), \\ (t_1,t_2,t_3) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min\left(t_1,\frac{1}{2}(1-t_1)\right) \right\},$$

$$S_{C4}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) := \left\{ (t_1,t_2) \in S_C, \ t_2 < t_3 < \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2), \\ (t_1,t_2,t_3) \text{ cannot be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ t_3 < t_4 < \frac{1}{2}(1-t_1-t_2-t_3), \\ (t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) \text{ can be partitioned into } (m,n) \in II, \\ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \ \sigma - 2\varpi \leqslant t_2 < \min\left(t_1,\frac{1}{2}(1-t_1)\right) \right\}.$$

Finally, by (2)–(9), the total loss is less than

$$0.990258 + 0.002515 + 0.006249 < 0.9991 < 1$$

and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

References

- [1] S. Baier and L. Zhao. Bombieri-Vinogradov type theorems for sparse sets of moduli. Acta Arith., 125(2):187-201, 2006.
- [2] S. Baier and L. Zhao. An improvement for the large sieve for square moduli. J. Number Theory, 128(1):154–174, 2006.
- [3] G. Harman. Prime-detecting Sieves, volume 33 of London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [4] H. Iwaniec. Almost-primes represented by quadratic polynomials. Invent. Math., 47:171–188, 1978.
- [5] C. Jia. On the Piatetski-Shapiro-Vinogradov Theorem. Acta Arith., 73(1):1-28, 1995.
- [6] R. Li. Primes in almost all short intervals. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2407.05651v5, 2024.
- [7] R. Li. On prime–producing sieves and distribution of $\alpha p \beta \mod 1$. $arXiv\ e\text{-}prints$, page arXiv:2504.13195v1, 2025.
- [8] R. Li. The number of primes in short intervals and numerical calculations for Harman's sieve. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2308.04458v6, 2025.
- [9] K. Matomäki. A note on primes of the form $p = aq^2 + 1$. Acta Arith., 137(2):133–137, 2009.
- [10] J. Merikoski. On the largest square divisor of shifted primes. Acta Arith., 196(4):349–386, 2020.
- [11] H.-E. Richert. Selberg's sieve with weights. Mathmatika, 16:1–22, 1969.

International Curriculum Center, The High School Affiliated to Renmin University of China, Beijing, China Email address: runbo.li.carey@gmail.com